

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO                         |  |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|--|
| 10/630,569             | 07/30/2003     | Kenneth A. Martin    | 1190.07             | 8655                                    |  |
| 29637 7:               | 590 12/06/2004 |                      | EXAMINER            |   |  |
| BUSKOP LAW GROUP, P.C. |                |                      | COE, SUSAN D        |   |  |
| 1717 ST. JAMI          | ES PLACE       |                      |                     | , |  |
| SUITE 500              | •              |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER                            |  |
| HOUSTON, T             | X 77056        |                      | 1654                |   |  |

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Applica  | tion No.  | Applicant(s)   |              |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/630   | 569   | MARTIN ET AL.  |              |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examin   |   | Art Unit   |              |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Susan [  | ). Coe  | 1654   |              |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING  - Extensions of ting after SIX (6) MC  - If the period for  - If NO period for  - Failure to reply Any reply received.  | IED STATUTORY PERIOD F G DATE OF THIS COMMUN me may be available under the provisions DNTHS from the mailing date of this com reply specified above is less than thirty ( reply is specified above, the maximum s within the set or extended period for reply ved by the Office later than three months erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a | event, however, may a reply be<br>tatutory minimum of thirty (30)<br>will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr<br>pplication to become ABANDC | e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this com  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | imunication. |  |  |  |  |
| Status   | emi adjusament. Gee or or it in one.   |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1)☐ Respoi   | nsive to communication(s) file   | ed on  |   | -  |              |  |  |  |  |
| <i>,</i> — .   |  | 2b)⊠ This action is  | non-final.  |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 3)☐ Since t  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of C   | Claims   |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of t<br>5)   | s) 1-21 is/are pending in the the above claim(s) is/as) is/are allowed. s) is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restricts   | are withdrawn from o   |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Pap  | ers  |  |   | •  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 9)∐ The spe  | ecification is objected to by th   | ne Examiner.   |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
|  | ement drawing sheet(s) including<br>th or declaration is objected t  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 3   | 5 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |   |  |              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of Refe 2) Notice of Draft 3) Information Dis  | rences Cited (PTO-892)<br>sperson's Patent Drawing Review (I<br>sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of<br>lail Date 1/2/04.  |  | 4) Interview Summ. Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:   |  | 152)         |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/630,569

Art Unit: 1654

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-21 are currently pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, item v, the phrase "ingesting the beverage" does not seem to fit logically into the context of the item.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,660,308 in view of US Pat. No. 4,647,453.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,569 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

US '308 claims a composition for treating inflamed tissue. This composition has all of the same ingredients as applicant's claims except US '308 does not contain calcium. US '453 teaches using calcium in compositions to treat inflamed tissue such as arthritic tissues (see columns 1 and 2). Thus, it was known in the art that calcium is a useful ingredient to use to treat inflamed tissue. Based on this knowledge, a person of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably expect beneficial results could be achieved by adding calcium to the composition taught by US '308. Due to this reasonable expectation of success, the artisan would be motivated to modify the composition of US '308 to include calcium

#### 4. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (571) 272-0963. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30 and on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Susan D. Coe

Susand be

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1654

December 1, 2004